

Open camera or QR reader and
scan code to access this article
and other resources online.



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

IMAGING

Tau, β -Amyloid, and Glucose Metabolism following Service-Related Traumatic Brain Injury in Vietnam War Veterans: The Australian Imaging Biomarkers and Lifestyle Study of Aging-Veterans Study (AIBL-VETS)

Tia L. Cummins,^{1,2,**} Vincent Doré,^{1,3,*,**} Azadeh Feizpour,^{1,2} Natasha Krishnadas,^{1,4} Pierrick Bourgeat,³ Alby Elias,^{1,5} Fiona Lamb,¹ Robert Williams,^{2,6} Malcolm Hopwood,⁵ Susan Landau,⁸ Victor L. Villemagne,^{1,7,9} Michael Weiner,¹⁰ and Christopher C. Rowe^{1,7,11}; The Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative and The Australian Imaging Biomarkers and Lifestyle Study of Aging (AIBL) Research Group

Abstract

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is common among military veterans and has been associated with an increased risk of dementia. It is unclear if this is due to increased risk for Alzheimer's disease (AD) or other mechanisms. This case control study sought evidence for AD, as defined by the 2018 National Institute on Aging - Alzheimer's Association (NIA-AA) research framework, by measuring tau, β -amyloid, and glucose metabolism using positron emission tomography (PET) in veterans with service-related TBI. Seventy male Vietnam war veterans—40 with TBI (age 68.0 ± 2.5 years) and 30 controls (age 70.1 ± 5.3 years)—with no prior diagnosis of dementia or mild cognitive impairment underwent β -amyloid (^{18}F -Florbetaben), tau (^{18}F -Flortaucipir), and fluorodeoxyglucose (^{18}F -FDG) PET. The TBI cohort included 15 participants with mild, 16 with moderate, and nine with severe injury. β -Amyloid level was calculated using the Centiloid (CL) method and tau was measured by standardized uptake value ratios (SUVRs) using the cerebellar cortex as reference region. Analyses were adjusted for age and APOE-e4. The findings were validated in an independent cohort from the Department of Defense-Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (DOD ADNI) study. There were no significant nor trending differences in β -amyloid or tau levels or ^{18}F -FDG uptake between the TBI and control groups before and after controlling for covariates. The β -amyloid and tau findings were replicated in the DOD ADNI validation cohort and persisted when the Australian Imaging

¹Department of Molecular Imaging and Therapy, Center for PET, Austin Health, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.

²The Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.

³The Australian eHealth Research Centre, CSIRO, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia.

⁴Florey Department of Neurosciences and Mental Health, ⁵Department of Psychiatry, ⁶Melbourne Brain Center Imaging Unit, ⁷Department of Medicine, The University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.

⁸Helen Wills Neuroscience Institute, University of California, Berkeley, California, USA.

⁹Department of Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA.

¹⁰University of California, San Francisco, California, USA.

¹¹The Australian Dementia Network (ADNet), Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.

**These authors contributed equally.

*Address correspondence to: Vincent Doré, PhD, Department of Molecular Imaging and Therapy, Austin Hospital, LVL1 Harold Stokes Block, 145 Studley Road, 3084 Heidelberg, Victoria, Australia E-mail: vincent.dore@csiro.au

Biomarkers and Lifestyle study of aging-Veterans study (AIBL-VETS) and DOD ADNI cohorts were combined (114 TBI vs. 87 controls in total). In conclusion, no increase in the later life accumulation of the neuropathological markers of AD in veterans with a remote history of TBI was identified.

Keywords: β -amyloid; ^{18}F -FDG; brain imaging; positron emission tomography; tau; traumatic brain injury; Vietnam veterans

Introduction

Military service is associated with an increased risk of traumatic brain injury (TBI), with up to 10% of service personnel experiencing TBI in recent conflicts.¹ TBI has been argued to be a strong environmental risk factor for dementia and epidemiological studies report a 2–4 fold increase in risk among veterans with moderate or severe TBI.² TBI has been classified as a modifiable risk factor for dementia.³ However, the mechanism underlying this increased dementia risk remains unclear. Some studies have reported strong associations between TBI and non-Alzheimer's disease (AD) forms of dementia but not with AD,⁴ or a weaker association between TBI and AD than with vascular dementia and unspecified dementia,⁵ while other studies report that TBI is related to higher relative risk of AD,^{2,6,7} or an earlier age of mild cognitive impairment (MCI) onset.⁸ These latter epidemiologic studies have two major limitations. First, they rely on self-report information regarding the history of TBI, and second, the diagnosis of MCI or AD is largely based on medical record review and there is a scarcity of biomarker or direct pathological evidence for this claim.

AD is characterized by dense β -amyloid ($A\beta$) plaques most abundant in the frontal cortex, the cingulate gyrus, precuneus and lateral parietal, and temporal regions.⁹ The second major pathological feature of AD is hyperphosphorylated tau, observed as neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs), found in the transentorhinal areas, limbic, and isocortical regions.¹⁰ Animal studies have demonstrated acute effects of TBI on tau,^{11–13} amyloid precursor protein (APP)¹⁴ and $A\beta$.¹⁵ It is postulated that these changes in damaged axons contribute to apoptosis and inflammation, indirectly leading to AD.^{16,17} Other mechanistic theories posit that TBI reduces time-to-onset among those already at risk of AD, as evidenced by accelerated AD related pathology among injured transgenic mice models expressing mutations in APP, and tau.¹⁸ In contrast, enriched levels of phospho-tau species following TBI have been argued to be temporary, returning to baseline levels after just 1 day.^{19,20}

Human postmortem studies post-TBI are limited and have not provided clear evidence that TBI is associated with Alzheimer's disease. β -Amyloid as diffuse plaques have been found in persons where brain tissue was obtained within hours of severe TBI.^{21,22} One study of brains with postmortem evidence of moderate or severe

TBI related to an event ranging from 1 to 47 years earlier, identified neurofibrillary tangles and β -amyloid plaques in approximately 30% of individuals, with a trend to more extensive distribution than in age-matched controls.²³ However, another postmortem study²⁴ on three large community-based cohorts of 1500 brains found no association between TBI with loss of consciousness and AD-like neuropathological features but did find an association with neuropathologic changes related to Lewy body disease and Parkinson's disease.

Moderate-to-severe TBI survivors, within a year of injury, have been reported to exhibit increased amyloid tracer binding in cortical gray matter and striatum, a pattern of distribution broadly replicating that seen in carriers of mutations in the presenilin-1 gene, a driver of early onset AD.²⁵ In contrast, a study of $A\beta$ deposition with Pittsburgh compound B (PiB) PET in 12 long-term survivors of moderate to severe TBI who reported cognitive impairment found no association between tracer uptake and severity of TBI.²⁶ In the DOD ADNI dataset, no effect of TBI history on AD biomarkers were found.^{27,28} The current tracers for tau PET detect the 3R/4R form of tau and do not show binding on PET scans in non-AD tauopathies.²⁹

Fluorodeoxyglucose (^{18}F -FDG) PET allows the measure of hypometabolism, a marker of synaptic/neuronal impairment. Despite inconsistencies in time since injury, TBI severity, age range, and injury modality, studies have shown reductions in regional metabolism. A general trend has emerged showing acutely increased glucose utilization in the days after TBI, followed by hypometabolism lasting weeks to months.^{30–32} Reductions in regional metabolism have been found in areas of the brain particularly sensitive to TBI, for example in frontal and temporal regions,^{33–35} cerebellum, medial temporal lobe, parietal, somatosensory, and visual cortices.^{36,37} One group has hypothesized that impaired cerebral glucose metabolism may contribute to promoting amyloidogenesis, abnormal tau hyperphosphorylation, and neurofibrillary degeneration, and therefore lead to AD-like pathology.³⁸ However, the observed depression in glucose metabolism only lasted for a few weeks or months.³⁰

The main objective of the Australian Imaging Biomarkers and Lifestyle study of aging-Veterans study (AIBL-VETS) was to investigate with a case-control

study design if Vietnam veterans with a history of TBI were more likely to demonstrate the neuropathological markers of AD than controls. Consistent with the 2018 National Institute on Aging - Alzheimer's Association (NIA-AA) Research Framework for a biological definition of AD,³⁹ we investigated both β -amyloid and tau biomarkers for AD pathology, and FDG as a marker of neurodegeneration.

Methods

The AIBL-VETS study was designed to be compatible with the U.S. Department of Defense-funded Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative veterans study (DOD ADNI) to allow independent validation of findings and pooling of data.

Participants

Ex-military service personnel who served in Vietnam age 60-85 years, with and without TBI, were recruited through retired veteran organizations such as the Returned Services League and the Vietnam Veterans Association of Australia, as well as the Older Veterans' Psychiatry Program located at Austin Health, Melbourne, Australia. Exclusion criteria included prior diagnosis of bipolar affective disorder, schizophrenia, dementia, mild cognitive impairment, substance abuse/dependence within the last 5 years, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) contraindication, major, unstable medical condition, and previous participation in clinical trials involving an amyloid-targeting therapy.

To be included in the TBI cohort, participants were required to have sustained at least one TBI between the ages of 16-40 years. TBI severity was assessed based on criteria set by the U.S. Department of Defense and Department of Veterans' affairs (Table 1).⁴⁰ Medical records from the time of injury were not available. Given reliance on self-report, and to ensure injuries were given accurate severity ratings (mild/moderate/severe), only participants who clearly recalled details of their injury were included. To be included in the control group, participants met the same inclusion/exclusion criteria, but were required to report no prior history of TBI nor post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Approval for this study was obtained from the Austin Health Human Research Ethics Committee, the U.S. Human Subjects Research Protection Office of the U.S. Army

Medical Research and Material Command, and the Australian Department of Veterans Affairs Ethics Committee. All participants provided consent prior to participating, and there were no direct incentives offered for participation.

Procedure and materials

All participants were screened over the phone to ensure they matched study criteria. Those deemed suitable for the initial assessments were invited into the research center to undergo psychiatric and neuropsychological assessment and an interview to obtain detailed TBI history. Participants were asked to give a detailed account of events surrounding the injury, including age at injury, injury cause, presence and length of unconsciousness, alteration of consciousness and post-traumatic amnesia, as well as information as to medical attention sought, and disruption of usual activities due to injury. Based on this information, and in relation to the information included in Table 1, each injury was classified as mild, moderate, or severe.

Psychiatric well-being

The psychiatric evaluation consisted of several measures to assess PTSD severity, drug and alcohol use, sleep quality and medical history. A PTSD diagnosis was allocated based on the Clinician Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS)⁴¹ lifetime and current score. A lifetime CAPS score of over 40 was indicative of previous PTSD, while a current CAPS score of over 40 indicated current PTSD. The Addiction Severity Index-lite⁴² was used to assess alcohol/substance use, and the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI),⁴³ sleep quality and disturbance. A score >5 on the PSQI was indicative of poor sleep quality.

Other measures

Participants also self-reported years of education, military service history, cigarette smoking status, and medical history. Comorbidities such as hypertension, ischemic heart disease, stroke, diabetes, migraine, and sleep apnea were determined via self-report in an interview with the study psychiatrist. Apolipoprotein E (APOE) genotype was determined by direct sequencing. The Wechsler Test of Adult Reading (WTAR) also was assessed to provide a measure of pre-morbid intelligence.

Table 1. Criteria Used by Department of Defense and Veterans Affairs (VA/DoD) to Categorize Head Injury Severity

	Mild	Moderate	Severe
Loss of consciousness	0 - 0.5 h	0.5 - 24 h	> 24 h
Alteration of consciousness	A moment - 24 h	> 24 h; severity based on other criteria	> 24 h; severity based on other criteria
Post-traumatic amnesia	0 - 1 day	1 - 7 days	> 7 days
Glasgow Coma Scale	13 - 15	9 - 12	3 - 8
Structural imaging	Normal	Normal/abnormal	Normal/abnormal

Participants also completed the Combat Exposure Scale (CES)⁴⁴ to classify the level of wartime stressors experienced.

Image acquisition and processing

Participants underwent tau, amyloid, and ¹⁸F-FDG PET, performed on separate days using ¹⁸F-Flortaucipir, ¹⁸F-Florbetaben, and ¹⁸F-FDG respectively. All radiotracers were produced on site, at Austin Health. The scans were acquired on a Siemens 128 micro computed tomography (CT) PET/CT camera at the University of Melbourne. A 30-min acquisition was performed 75 min post-injection of ¹⁸F-Flortaucipir, and 20-min scans were acquired 90 min post-injection of ¹⁸F-Florbetaben and after 30 min uptake time while resting quietly in a dimly lit room post-injection of ¹⁸F-FDG. Low-dose CT scan was used for attenuation correction. There was no correction for partial volume effects. In a previous paper, we reported no difference in hippocampal or gray matter volumes between the TBI and control groups in this cohort.⁴⁵

DOD ADNI validation cohort

Data and scans from the US Department of Defense Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (DOD ADNI) database (adni.loni.usc.edu) were used to validate the A β and tau PET findings. The primary goal of ADNI has been to test whether serial MRI, PET, as well as other biological, clinical, and neuropsychological assessment can be combined to detect and measure the progression of pre-clinical, MCI and early Alzheimer's disease. In the DOD ADNI cohort, 57 normal control (NC) and 74 TBI (16 mild TBI, 58 moderate-to-severe TBI) cognitively unimpaired veterans underwent a ¹⁸F-Florbetapir β -amyloid scan, while 30 NC and 46 TBI (11 mild, 35 severe) had a ¹⁸F-Flortaucipir tau scan (Supplementary Table S1). After download, these scans were processed using the methods described below for the Australian veteran cohort to provide compatible Centiloid and standardized uptake value ratio (SUVR) results.

Image analysis

Reconstructed PET images were processed using CapAIBL, a previously validated tracer uptake quantification software package.⁴⁶ The SUVR quantification process is described in-depth elsewhere⁴⁷; however, in brief, an adaptive atlas was automatically fitted to each A β PET image to match the A β PET retention pattern. Each image was then spatially normalized to the best fitting atlas. Centiloid values (CL) were then computed using the SPM8 mask and CapAIBL calibration equations from Bourgeat and colleagues (2018).⁴⁸

All tau and ¹⁸F-FDG scans were normalized using the same CapAIBL software, but instead of the A β analysis,

multi-tau atlases⁴⁶ and a mean ¹⁸F-FDG atlas were used. Spatially normalized tau scans were sampled in three different composite regions, as per earlier work⁴⁹: mesial-temporal (Me), temporoparietal (Te), and rest of neocortex (R). The Me region comprised entorhinal cortex, hippocampus, parahippocampus and amygdala, and the Te region comprised regions inferior and middle temporal, fusiform, supramarginal and angular gyri, posterior cingulate/precuneus, superior and inferior parietal, and lateral occipital. Rest of neocortex (R) was made up of dorsolateral and ventrolateral prefrontal, orbitofrontal, gyrus rectus, superior temporal and anterior cingulate. Glucose metabolism also was investigated in the global neocortex (a composite of frontal (dorsolateral, ventrolateral, and orbitofrontal), parietal (superior parietal and precuneus), lateral temporal (superior, middle, and inferior), lateral occipital lobe, gyrus supramarginalis, gyrus angularis and anterior and posterior cingulate), and in the frontal composite alone, the mesial temporal region (Me as used for tau image analysis) and in an area defined to give a posterior cortical index (PCI) comprising the lateral temporal, parietal, and precuneus cortex as commonly used in FDG PET studies of AD.

For FTP and FDG, standardized uptake values (SUV) were calculated for all brain regions examined by dividing the regional SUVs by the cerebellar cortex SUV. As there might be some potential pathology in cerebellum of TBI patients, we also did cerebellum SUV comparison between TBI and non-TBI individuals. All the scanners were phantom calibrated for SUV calculation. The quantitative results (CL and SUVR) were the primary outcome measures and all quantification was performed blind to history of TBI.

Visual reading of images

All ¹⁸F-Florbetaben, ¹⁸F-Flortaucipir, and FDG images were also visually inspected blind to history of TBI. Each image was assessed by a nuclear medicine specialist experienced in interpretation of these scans. All ¹⁸F-Florbetaben and FDG scans were rated as "positive" or "negative," and ¹⁸F-Flortaucipir images were rated as "negative," "equivocal," or "positive."

Statistical analysis

Power analysis was calculated from amyloid scans in age matched healthy controls from the AIBL and showed that to observe a moderate effect size between groups (Cohen's $d \geq 0.5$), with 80% power, a total of 62 participants would be required. Percentages were calculated for categorical variables. Participant characteristics and demographics were compared between individuals in the TBI cohort and the veteran control group using t-tests and the Wilcoxon signed rank test (when variables were not normally distributed) for continuous variables

and Fisher's exact test for categorical variables, as well as Cohen's *d* to measure effect sizes. Hierarchical regressions were used to investigate the influence of covariates, including age, APOE $\epsilon 4$ status, years of education, pre-morbid intellectual functioning, and lifetime PTSD severity. The Wechsler Test of Adult Reading was used to provide an estimate of IQ, and CAPS Lifetime score as a measure of lifetime severity of PTSD. Variables that had a significant effect on the outcome variable were then controlled for in an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). A *p* value of less than 0.05 was deemed statistically significant.

Results

Demographics

In the AIBL-VETS cohort, when compared with the control group, participants with a TBI had significantly fewer years of education, lower levels of pre-morbid intellectual functioning, higher body mass index (BMI), and higher PTSD, depression, and distress scores were more likely to endorse previous diagnosis of sleep apnea and more likely to carry the APOE- $\epsilon 4$ allele (Table 2). Of the 40 TBI subjects, 12 met criteria for current PTSD. Of the TBI cohort, 15 participants had suffered mild TBI (mTBI), 16 moderate, and nine severe. The three TBI groups did not differ from each other in terms of demographics or medical comorbidities. Injuries were sustained from a variety of mechanisms during the time of their military service and further details are included in Supplementary Figure S1. The most common cause

of injury, across all severities, was sports related, followed by motor vehicle accidents. The average age at injury was 24.2 (± 5.5), with the average time since injury 44.1 (± 5.3) years. The range for time since injury was 30-53 years.

Positron emission tomography

A t-test on ^{18}F -Florbetaben Centiloid showed no difference between TBI and NC (Cohen's *d*=0.31, *p*=0.2), despite the larger number of APOE4 carriers in the TBI group. When considering only the APOE non-E4 carriers, the Cohen's *d* was 0.21 (*p*=0.44). After examining demographic, medical, and psychiatric covariates, results from a series of hierarchical linear regressions showed that only APOE had significant association (*p*<0.02) with Centiloid value, which did not survive correction for multiple comparisons. We have previously reported that PTSD was not associated with PET findings in this AIBL-VETS cohort.⁵⁰ After controlling for APOE using an ANCOVA, the difference was even smaller between TBI and the NC cohort in ^{18}F -Florbetaben Centiloid (*F*=0.33, *p*>0.55). Similar results were found in the DOD ADNI cohort (Cohen's *d*=-0.04, *p*=0.81) and when merging the AIBL-VETS and DOD ADNI cohorts (Cohen's *d*=0.05, *p*=0.72; Fig. 1B, 1C).

T-tests and ANCOVA on global and regional ^{18}F -Flortaucipir showed no significant difference between NC and TBI subjects from the AIBL-VETS cohort (Fig. 2; Neocortical: *d*=0.25, *p*=0.29; Me: *d*=-0.02, *p*=0.95; Te: *d*=0.25, *p*=0.29; R: *d*=0.27, *p*=0.26),

Table 2. AIBL-VETS Participant Demographics

Mean (SD) or %	NC (n=30)	TBI (n=40)	Test statistic (degree of freedom)	p value	Effect size (Cohen's <i>d</i>)
Demographics					
age, years	69.5 (4.6)	68.0 (2.5)	<i>t</i> =1.78 (66)	0.08	
education, years	12.9 (3.0)	11.2 (2.6)	<i>t</i> =2.43 (66)	0.018*	0.61
WTAR US predicted Full Scale IQ	111.8 (5.7)	104.6 (6.9)	<i>t</i> =4.55 (66)	< 0.001*	1.12
Combat Exposure Scale	10.0 (8.1)	13.8 (11.0)	<i>t</i> =-1.48 (58)	0.145	
age at TBI		24.2 (5.5)			
APOE $\epsilon 4$ carriers					
Heterozygotes, %	7.1	40		0.003*	
Homozygotes, %	0	0			
Medical history					
Hypertension	35.70%	50.00%	Fisher's exact test	0.24	
Ischemic heart disease	10.70%	20.00%	Fisher's exact test	0.31	
Stroke	3.60%	0.00%	Fisher's exact test	0.23	
Diabetes	10.70%	22.50%	Fisher's exact test	0.21	
Current smoking	3.60%	7.50%	Fisher's exact test	0.5	
Migraine	17.90%	27.50%	Fisher's exact test	0.36	
Sleep apnea	11.50%	37.80%	Fisher's exact test	0.02*	0.62
BMI	27.0 (3.9)	30.4 (5.1)	<i>t</i> =-2.93 (66)	0.005*	0.73
Psychiatric evaluation					
Lifetime PTSD score	9.1 (8.6)	51.9 (27.6)	<i>t</i> =-8.07 (67)	< 0.001*	1.95
Current PTSD score	6.5 (6.1)	29.1 (20.2)	<i>t</i> =-5.82 (67)	< 0.001*	1.42
Depressive symptoms (GDS)	1.4 (1.7)	4.3 (3.7)	<i>W</i> =277	< 0.001*	0.98
Psychological distress (SLC-90: GSI)	53.8 (13.6)	66.2 (13.9)	<i>t</i> =-3.63 (66)	0.001*	0.9
Sleep disturbance (PSQI)	4.8 (4.5)	7.3 (4.2)	<i>t</i> =-2.05 (51)	0.045*	0.58

AIBL-VETS, Australian Imaging Biomarkers and Lifestyle study of aging-Veterans study; NC, normal control; TBI, traumatic brain injury; WTAR, Wechsler Test of Adult Reading; APOE, apolipoprotein E; BMI, body mass index; PTSD, post-traumatic stress disorder; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale; SLC-90, Derogatis' Symptom Checklist-90; GSI, Global Severity Index; PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index.




FIG. 1. ^{18}F -Florbetaben Centiloid values for normal control (NC) and traumatic brain injury (TBI) groups from Australian Imaging Biomarkers and Lifestyle study of aging-Veterans study (AIBL-VETS) and Department of Defense – Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (DOD ADNI) cohorts and both cohorts together. Top row: NC vs. all TBI; Bottom row: NC vs. moderate or severe TBI.

from the DOD ADNI cohort (Supplementary Fig. S2; Neocortical: $d = -0.05$, $p = 0.83$; Me: $d = -0.1$, $p = 0.66$; Te: $d = -0.06$, $p = 0.79$; R: $d = 0.03$, $p = 0.88$) and from the merging of both cohorts (Neocortical: $d = 0.07$, $p = 0.68$; Me: $d = -0.06$, $p = 0.73$; Te: $d = 0.06$, $p = 0.72$; R: $d = 0.11$, $p = 0.52$; Fig. 3).

We found no significant difference in the ^{18}F -FDG SUVs from the cerebellum cortex between NC and TBI subjects (Cohen’s $d = 0.13$, $p = 0.57$), as reported in previous studies.⁵¹ This allowed us to analyze the ^{18}F -FDG SUVRs. No significant differences were found in the global and regional quantification of FDG uptake between NC and TBI groups (Neocortical: $d = 0.02$, $p = 0.94$; ME: $d = -0.07$, $p = 0.76$; PCI: $d = -0.01$, $p = 0.95$; Frontal: $d = 0.06$, $p = 0.79$; Fig. 4).

Effect of injury severity

To investigate the impact of injury severity, the TBI cohort was subdivided into mTBI ($n = 15$) and moderate-to-severe TBI ($n = 25$) and we performed the analysis between NC and the moderate to-severe TBI (Supplementary Fig. S1, lower row). We did not find any signif-

icant covariate and there were no significant differences between NC and moderate-to-severe TBI in Centiloid values (AIBL-VETS: Cohen’s $d = 0.20$, $p = 0.48$; DOD ADNI: Cohen’s $d = -0.16$, $p = 0.38$, AIBL and ADNI: Cohen’s $d = -0.07$, $p = 0.61$) nor in tau SUVR (Supplementary Fig. S3; Cohen’s $d < 0.17$, $p > 0.5$, in Neocortical, Me, Te, and R).

When visual classifications were analyzed, no differences in $A\beta$ or tau positivity rates were found between TBI and control cohorts, even after removing the mild injuries from the analysis.

Discussion

In this study, we employed amyloid, tau and ^{18}F -FDG PET, and APOE genotyping to investigate the presence of the neuropathological hallmarks of AD in a homogeneous cohort of veterans 3 to 5 decades after TBI. The current study did not find evidence of increased amyloid deposition among veterans with TBI, even in those with more severe injuries. Our findings were replicated in the DOD ADNI validation cohort. This result is in line with previous studies investigating the association




FIG. 2. ^{18}F -Flortaucipir standardized uptake value ratios (SUVRs) for normal control (NC) and traumatic brain injury (TBI) groups from the Australian Imaging Biomarkers and Lifestyle study of aging - Veterans study (AIBL-VETS) cohort. Me, mesial-temporal; Te, temporal parietal; R, rest of neocortex.

between TBI and AD pathology with postmortem data^{24,52} and with amyloid PET imaging.^{26,28} Further, recent findings in clinically normal older adults confirm that in a longitudinal $\text{A}\beta$ -PET study (two $\text{A}\beta$ -PET scans, 0.5 to 4.0 years apart), adults with mTBI did not have a significantly higher rate of $\text{A}\beta$ accumulation over time than those with no remote head trauma.⁵³ Several other studies have reported association between TBI and amyloid deposition^{22,25}; however, time between TBI and *in vivo* amyloid assessment was less than 1 year, or the association did not reach significance.²³

Using the MeTeR scale, the current study investigated tau accumulation in AD vulnerable regions; nevertheless, no significant differences in tracer uptake were found on

two different veteran cohorts (AIBL-VETS and DOD ADNI), even among those with moderate-to-severe injuries. In contrast to our findings, a study using ^{11}C -PBB3 tau tracer found that patients with mild repetitive or severe TBI had higher ^{11}C -PBB3 binding in the neocortical gray and white matter than healthy control participants.⁵⁴ Also in one postmortem study, a higher prevalence of subjects with NFT among TBI was reported but this was significant only in TBI subjects younger than 60 years old.²³ No difference was observed in a subsequent, much larger cohort.²⁴

We also report no difference in ^{18}F -FDG uptake in the posterior brain regions typically affected by AD. Previous studies reported low glucose metabolism between




FIG. 3. ^{18}F -Flortaucipir standardized uptake value ratios (SUVRs) for normal control (NC) and traumatic brain injury (TBI) groups from Australian Imaging Biomarkers and Lifestyle study of aging-Veterans study (AIBL-VETS) and Department of Defense - Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (DOD ADNI) cohorts merged together. Me, mesial-temporal; Te, temporal parietal; R, rest of neocortex.

several days and a few months after TBI.⁵⁵ However, it was also reported that the depression in glucose metabolism subsided after a few months.³⁰

In the 2020 Lancet report on dementia prevention,³ TBI was listed as a factor that can contribute to increased dementia risk. However, no differentiation between AD and non-AD dementia was performed. The normal level of $\text{A}\beta$ deposition, tau aggregation and glucose metabolism in TBI subjects compared with normal matched individuals does not support the premise that TBI can be a risk factor for Alzheimer's disease. This suggests that the observed increase in dementia risk may be due

to other causes of dementia as has been suggested, such as dementia with Lewy bodies²⁴ or frontotemporal dementia.^{56,57}

Low brain resilience or cognitive reserve leading to earlier clinical manifestation of dementia for a given degree of neuropathology could also contribute to the consistently reported increase in the prevalence of dementia in those with history of TBI. The prevalence of AD is partially censored by deaths from other causes in the elderly; therefore, earlier onset in those with TBI would also suggest higher prevalence. The AIBL-VETS TBI cohort had many factors that could create low brain




FIG. 4. Fluorodeoxyglucose (^{18}F -FDG) standardized uptake value ratios (SUVRs) for normal control (NC) and traumatic brain injury (TBI) groups in Australian Imaging Biomarkers and Lifestyle study of aging-Veterans study (AIBL-VETS) for the neocortical, mesial-temporal (Me), posterior cortical index (PCI), temporal parietal (Te), and frontal composite regions of interest.

resilience or reserve. These include diminished white matter integrity in those with moderate or severe TBI as previously reported by the authors.⁴⁵ The TBI cohort also scored significantly lower on the WTAR measure of pre-morbid intellectual functioning than controls and as expected, higher on a number of psychiatric measures. These included measures of anxiety, somatization, obsessive compulsive behaviors, interpersonal sensitivity, depression, hostility, paranoid ideation, and psychoticism, in addition to lifetime and current PTSD symptomology. Other health measures indicated that the TBI cohort have a significantly higher BMI than controls,

which may account also for the higher self-reported incidence of sleep apnea in this group. Prevalence of ischemic heart disease and diabetes, while not significantly different to controls, was nearly doubled in the TBI cohort.

The results from the current study provide a unique perspective into the long-term effects of TBI. Strengths of the study include: the reasonably large imaged cohort (114 TBI and 87 veteran controls when AIBL and ADNI cohorts were merged), homogenous TBI and control participants by restricting the study to veterans of the Vietnam war, only including veterans 3 to 5 decades after injury, and using a range of biomarkers to

investigate the presence of neuropathological markers of AD. Our analysis was validated with the independent DOD ADNI cohort.

Our study has some limitations. Medical records were not available to confirm TBI severity, therefore, the study team were reliant upon self-report, which may have led to an underestimation or overestimation of injury severity. In addition, sample sizes, while large for a TBI PET study, restricted group separation by injury mechanism. This resulted in a mixture of single and repetitive injuries in the mTBI group, and blast, penetrating, and other injury mechanisms among the moderate-to-severe TBIs. It was not possible to exclude participants with TBI in addition to PTSD, and this may limit the applicability of these findings to a number of other TBI cohorts.

In summary, in this study we were not able to identify an increase in the later life accumulation of the neuropathological markers of AD in veterans with a remote history of TBI.

Acknowledgments

Some of the data used in the preparation of this article was obtained from the Australian Imaging Biomarkers and Lifestyle flagship study of aging (AIBL), and the Australian Dementia Network (ADNeT) that receive funding support from the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC).

Data collection and sharing for this project also was funded by the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI; National Institutes of Health Grant U01 AG024904) and DOD ADNI (Department of Defense award number W81XWH-12-2-0012). ADNI is funded by the National Institute on Aging, the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, and through generous contributions from the following: AbbVie, Alzheimer's Association; Alzheimer's Drug Discovery Foundation; Araclon Biotech; BioClinica, Inc.; Biogen; Bristol-Myers Squibb Company; CereSpir, Inc.; Cogstate; Eisai Inc.; Elan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Eli Lilly and Company; EuroImmun; F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd and its affiliated company Genentech, Inc.; Fujirebio; GE Healthcare; IXICO Ltd.; Janssen Alzheimer Immunotherapy Research & Development, LLC.; Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development LLC.; Lumosity; Lundbeck; Merck & Co., Inc.; Meso Scale Diagnostics, LLC.; NeuroRx Research; Neurotrack Technologies; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; Pfizer Inc.; Piramal Imaging; Servier; Takeda Pharmaceutical Company; and Transition Therapeutics. The Canadian Institutes of Health Research is providing funds to support ADNI clinical sites in Canada. Private sector contributions are facilitated by the Foundation for the National Institutes of Health (www.fnih.org). The grantee organization is the Northern California Institute for Research and Education, and the study is

coordinated by the Alzheimer's Therapeutic Research Institute at the University of Southern California. ADNI data are disseminated by the Laboratory for Neuro Imaging at the University of Southern California.

We also thank the participants who took part in the study and their families.

Some data used in preparation of this article were obtained from the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database (<https://adni.loni.usc.edu>). As such, the investigators within the ADNI contributed to the design and implementation of ADNI and/or provided data but did not participate in analysis or writing of this report. A complete listing of ADNI investigators can be found at: http://adni.loni.usc.edu/wp-content/uploads/how_to_apply/ADNI_Acknowledgement_List.pdf

A complete listing of the AIBL Research Group can be found at: <https://aibl.csiro.au/about/aibl-research-team>

Authors' Contributions

Vincent Doré: methodology, formal analysis, writing—original draft; Tia L. Cummins: data curation, methodology, formal analysis, writing—original draft; Azadeh Feizpour: writing—original draft; Natasha Krishnadas: writing—review and editing; Pierrick Bourgeat: formal analysis; Susan Landau: writing—review and editing; Alby Elias: data curation; Fiona Lamb: data curation; Robert Williams: data curation; Malcolm Hopwood: writing—review and editing; Victor V. Villemagne: writing—review and editing; Michael Weiner: writing—review and editing; Christopher C. Rowe: conceptualization, resources, writing—review and editing.

Funding Information

The study was supported by grants from the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) (award numbers APP1127007, APP10475151), the U.S. Department of Defense U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command (award number W81XWH-14-1-0418) and Piramal Imaging who marketed Florbetaben at the time of the study. The funding sources had no input into the design of this study, the analysis of data, or writing of the manuscript.

Author Disclosure Statement

Christopher C. Rowe has received research grants from NHMRC, Enigma Australia, Biogen, Eisai and Abbvie. He is on the scientific advisory board for Cerveau Technologies and has consulted for Prothena, Eisai, Roche, and Biogen Australia. Victor Villemagne is and has been a consultant or paid speaker at sponsored conference sessions for Eli Lilly, Life Molecular Imaging, GE Healthcare, IXICO, Abbvie, Lundbeck, Shanghai Green Valley Pharmaceutical Co Ltd, and Hoffmann La Roche.

For the other authors, no competing financial interests exist.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary Table S1
 Supplementary Figure S1
 Supplementary Figure S2
 Supplementary Figure S3

References

- Rushworth N. The Voice of Brain Injury Brain Injury Australia. Brain Injury in the Military. Putney, NSW, Australia; 2017. Available from: <https://www.braininjuryaustralia.org.au/education-campaigns/brain-injury-military/> [Last accessed February 28, 2023].
- Plassman BL, Havlik R, Steffens D, et al. Documented head injury in early adulthood and risk of Alzheimer's disease and other dementias. *Neurology* 2000;55(8):1158–1166; doi: 10.1212/wnl.55.8.1158
- Livingston G, Huntley J, Sommerlad A, et al. Dementia prevention, intervention, and care: 2020 report of the Lancet Commission. *Lancet* 2020;396(10248):413–446; doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30367-6
- Nordström P, Michaëlsson K, Gustafson Y, et al. Traumatic brain injury and young onset dementia: a nationwide cohort study. *Ann Neurol* 2014; 75(3):374–381; doi: 10.1002/ana.24101
- Nordström A, Nordström P. Traumatic brain injury and the risk of dementia diagnosis: a nested case-control register study. *Alzheimers Dement* 2017;13(12):1371–1379; doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2017.04.010
- LoBue C, Woon FL, Rossetti HC, et al. Traumatic brain injury history and progression from mild cognitive impairment to Alzheimer disease. *Neuropsychology* 2018;32(4):401.
- Rowe CC and Villemagne VL. Brain Amyloid Imaging. *J Nucl Med Technol* 2013;41(1):11–18; doi: 10.1037/neu0000431
- Braak H, Braak E. Frequency of stages of Alzheimer-related lesions in different age categories. *Neurobiol Aging* 1997;18(4):351–357; doi: 10.1016/S0197-4580(97)00056-0
- Gabbita SP, Scheff SW, Menard RM, et al. Cleaved-tau: a biomarker of neuronal damage after traumatic brain injury. *J Neurotrauma* 2005; 22(1):83–94; doi: 10.1089/neu.2005.22.83
- Li L, Liang J, Fu H. An update on the association between traumatic brain injury and Alzheimer's disease: focus on Tau pathology and synaptic dysfunction. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2021;120:372–386; doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2020.10.020
- Zemlan FP, Rosenberg WS, Luebbe PA, et al. Quantification of axonal damage in traumatic brain injury: affinity purification and characterization of cerebrospinal fluid tau proteins. *J Neurochem* 1999;72(2): 741–750; doi: 10.1046/j.1471-4159.1999.0720741.x
- Wu Z, Wang ZH, Liu X, et al. Traumatic brain injury triggers APP and Tau cleavage by delta-secretase, mediating Alzheimer's disease pathology. *Prog Neurobiol* 2020;185:101730; doi: 10.1016/j.pneurobio.2019.101730
- Chen XH, Siman R, Iwata A, et al. Long-term accumulation of amyloid-beta, beta-secretase, presenilin-1, and caspase-3 in damaged axons following brain trauma. *Am J Pathol* 2004;165(2):357–371; doi: 10.1016/S0002-9440(10)63303-2
- Matsuoka Y, Picciano M, Malester B, et al. Inflammatory responses to amyloidosis in a transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Am J Pathol* 2001;158(4):1345–1354; doi: 10.1016/S0002-9440(10)64085-0
- Yankner BA, Dawes LR, Fisher S, et al. Neurotoxicity of a fragment of the amyloid precursor associated with Alzheimer's disease. *Science* 1989; 245(4916):417–420; doi: 10.1126/science.2474201
- Tran HT, LaFerla FM, Holtzman DM, et al. Controlled cortical impact traumatic brain injury in 3xTg-AD mice causes acute intra-axonal amyloid-beta accumulation and independently accelerates the development of tau abnormalities. *J Neurosci* 2011;31(26):9513–9525; doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0858-11.2011
- Chen LJ, Wang YJ, Tseng GF. Compression alters kinase and phosphatase activity and tau and MAP2 phosphorylation transiently while inducing the fast adaptive dendritic remodeling of underlying cortical neurons. *J Neurotrauma* 2010;27(9):1657–1669; doi: 10.1089/neu.2010.1308
- Tran HT, Sanchez L, Brody DL. Inhibition of JNK by a peptide inhibitor reduces traumatic brain injury-induced tauopathy in transgenic mice. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* 2012;71(2):116–129; doi: 10.1097/NEN.0b013e3182456aed
- DeKosky ST, Abrahamson EE, Ciallella JR, et al. Association of increased cortical soluble A beta(42) levels with diffuse plaques after severe brain injury in humans. *Arch Neurol* 2007;64(4):541–544; doi: 10.1001/archneur.64.4.541
- Ikonomic MD, Uryu K, Abrahamson EE, et al. Alzheimer's pathology in human temporal cortex surgically excised after severe brain injury. *Exp. Neurol.* 2004;190(1):192–203; doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2004.06.011
- Johnson VE, Stewart W, Smith DH. Widespread tau and amyloid-beta pathology many years after a single traumatic brain injury in humans. *Brain Pathol* 2012;22(2):142–149; doi: 10.1111/j.1750-3639.2011.00513.x
- Crane PK, Gibbons LE, Dams-O'Connor K, et al. Association of traumatic brain injury with late-life neurodegenerative conditions and neuropathologic findings. *JAMA Neurol* 2016;73(9):1062–1069; doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2016.1948
- Hong YT, Veenith T, Dewar D, et al. Amyloid imaging with carbon 11-labeled Pittsburgh compound B for traumatic brain injury. *JAMA Neurol* 2014;71(1):23–31; doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2013.4847
- Kawai N, Kawanishi M, Kudomi N, et al. Detection of brain amyloid beta deposition in patients with neuropsychological impairment after traumatic brain injury: PET evaluation using Pittsburgh Compound-B. *Brain Inj* 2013;27(9):1026–1031; doi: 10.3109/02699052.2013.794963
- Weiner MW, Crane PK, Montine TJ, et al. Traumatic brain injury may not increase the risk of Alzheimer disease. *Neurology* 2017;89(18):1923; doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000004608
- Weiner MW, Harvey D, Hayes J, et al. Effects of traumatic brain injury and posttraumatic stress disorder on development of Alzheimer's disease in Vietnam veterans using the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative: preliminary report. *Alzheimers Dement* 2017;3(2):177–188; doi: 10.1016/j.trci.2017.02.005
- Saint-Aubert L, Lemoine L, Chiotis K, et al. Tau PET imaging: present and future directions. *Mol Neurodegener* 2017;12(1):19; doi: 10.1186/s13024-017-0162-3
- Bergsneider M, Hovda DA, McArthur DL, et al. Metabolic recovery following human traumatic brain injury based on FDG-PET: time course and relationship to neurological disability. *J Head Trauma Rehabil* 2001;16(2):135–148; doi: 10.1097/00001199-200104000-00004
- Liu YR, Cardamone L, Hogan RE, et al. Progressive metabolic and structural cerebral perturbations after traumatic brain injury: an in vivo imaging study in the rat. *J Nucl Med* 2010;51(11):1788–1795; doi: 10.1097/00001199-200104000-00004
- Shultz SR, Cardamone L, Liu YR, et al. Can structural or functional changes following traumatic brain injury in the rat predict epileptic outcome? *Epilepsia* 2013;54(7):1240–1250; doi: 10.1111/epi.12223
- Eierud C, Craddock RC, Fletcher S, et al. Neuroimaging after mild traumatic brain injury: review and meta-analysis. *Neuroimage Clin* 2014;4:283–294; doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2013.12.009
- Gross H, Kling A, Henry G, et al. Local cerebral glucose metabolism in patients with long-term behavioral and cognitive deficits following mild traumatic brain injury. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci* 1996;8(3): 324–334; doi: 10.1176/jnp.8.3.324
- Humayun MS, Presty SK, LaFrance ND, et al. Local cerebral glucose abnormalities in mild closed head injured patients with cognitive impairments. *Nucl Med Commun* 1989;10(5):335–344; doi: 10.1097/00006231-198905000-00004
- Peskind ER, Petrie EC, Cross DJ, et al. Cerebrocerebellar hypometabolism associated with repetitive blast exposure mild traumatic brain injury in 12 Iraq war Veterans with persistent post-concussive symptoms. *Neuroimage* 2011;54(Suppl 1):S76-82; doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.04.008
- Petrie EC, Cross DJ, Yarnykh VL, et al. Neuroimaging, behavioral, and psychological sequelae of repetitive combined blast/impact mild traumatic brain injury in Iraq and Afghanistan war veterans. *J Neurotrauma* 2014;31(5):425–436; doi: 10.1089/neu.2013.2952
- Xu X-J, Yang MS, Zhang B, et al. Glucose metabolism: a link between traumatic brain injury and Alzheimer's disease. *Chin. J Traumatol.* 2021;24(1):5–10; doi: 10.1016/j.cjtee.2020.10.001
- Jack CR, Bennett DA, Blennow K, et al. NIA-AA Research Framework: toward a biological definition of Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* 2018;14(4):535–562; doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2018.02.018
- Management of Concussion/mTBI Working Group. VA/DoD Clinical Practice Guideline for Management of Concussion/Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. *J Rehabil Res Dev* 2009;46(6):CP1–CP68.

41. Aker AT, Ozeren M, Basoglu M, et al. Clinician administered post traumatic stress disorder scale (CAPS) reliability and validity study. *Turk Psikiyatri Derg* 1999;10:286–293.
42. McLellan AT, Luborsky L, Woody GE et al. An improved diagnostic evaluation instrument for substance abuse patients: the Addiction Severity Index. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 1980;168:26–33; doi: 10.1097/00005053-198001000-00006 0
43. Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF, Monk TH, et al. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Res* 1989;28(2):193–213; doi: 10.1016/0165-1781(89)90047-4
44. Lund M, Foy D, Sipprelle C, et al. The Combat Exposure Scale: a systematic assessment of trauma in the Vietnam War. *J Clin Psychol* 1984;40(6): 1323–1328; doi: 10.1002/1097-4679(198411)40:6<1323::aid-jclp2270400607>3.0.co;2-i
45. Cummins T, Xia Y, Elias A, et al. Diminished white matter integrity four decades after traumatic brain injury in Vietnam War veterans. *Glob psychiatry* 2021;4(1):80–94.
46. Bourgeat P, Doré V, Fripp J, et al. Web-based automated PET and MR quantification. *Alzheimers Dement* 2015;11(7):P698.
47. Zhou L, Salvado O, Dore V, et al. MR-less surface-based amyloid assessment based on 11C PiB PET. *PLoS One* 2014;9(1):e84777; doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0084777
48. Bourgeat P, Doré V, Fripp J, et al. Implementing the centiloid transformation for (11)C-PiB and β -amyloid (18)F-PET tracers using CapAIBL. *Neuroimage* 2018;183:387–393; doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.08.044
49. Villemagne V, Doré V, Bourgeat P, et al. Generating continuous and categorical measures from tau imaging studies with 18F-AV1451 and 18F-THK5351: the Tau MeTeR scale. *J Nucl Med* 2016;57(Suppl 2):128–128.
50. Elias A, Cummins T, Lamb F, et al. Amyloid- β , Tau, and 18F-Fluorodeoxyglucose Positron Emission Tomography in Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2020;73(1):163–173.
51. Byrnes KR, Wilson CM, Brabazon F, et al. FDG-PET imaging in mild traumatic brain injury: a critical review. *Front Neuroenergetics* 2014;5:13; doi: 10.3389/fnene.2013.00013
52. Sugarman MA, McKee AC, Stein TD, et al. Failure to detect an association between self-reported traumatic brain injury and Alzheimer's disease neuropathology and dementia. *Alzheimers Dement* 2019;15(5):686–698; doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2018.12.015
53. Asken BM, Mantyh WG, La Joie R, et al. Association of remote mild traumatic brain injury with cortical amyloid burden in clinically normal older adults. *Brain Imaging Behav* 2021;15(5):2417–2425; doi: 10.1007/s11682-020-00440-1.
54. Takahata K, Kimura Y, Sahara N, et al. PET-detectable tau pathology correlates with long-term neuropsychiatric outcomes in patients with traumatic brain injury. *Brain* 2019;142(10):3265–3279; doi: 10.1093/brain/awz238
55. Selwyn R, Hockenbury N, Jaiswal S, et al. Mild traumatic brain injury results in depressed cerebral glucose uptake: an (18)FDG PET study. *J Neurotrauma* 2013;30(23):1943–1953; doi: 10.1089/neu.2013.2928
56. Kalkonde YV, Jawaid A, Qureshi SU, et al. Medical and environmental risk factors associated with frontotemporal dementia: a case-control study in a veteran population. *Alzheimers Dement* 2012;8(3):204–210; doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2011.03.011
57. LoBue C, Wilmoth K, Cullum CM, et al. Traumatic brain injury history is associated with earlier age of onset of frontotemporal dementia. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2016;87(8):817–820; doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2015-311438